

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/072,368	02/07/2002		Alice C. Martino	C-3527/1/US	2557
26648	7590	11/14/2005		EXAMINER	
		PORATION	HUI, SAN MING R		
GLOBAL PATENT DEPARTMENT POST OFFICE BOX 1027				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS, MO 63006				1617	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/072,368	MARTINO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		San-ming Hui	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 EX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 🖾 🖡	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	<u>ıgust 2005</u> .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□ \$	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
. (closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)□ (6)図 (7)□ (Claim(s) 5,7-9,23-25 and 32-42 is/are pending a) Of the above claim(s) 39-42 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 5, 7-9, 23-25, and 32-38 is/are rejecte Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	-					
Application	on Papers							
10)□ T , F	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objectement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s	z)							
,	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice 3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te					

Application/Control Number: 10/072,368

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendments filed August 22, 2005 have been entered. The cancellation of claims 1-4, 6, and 16-22 is acknowledged. Claims 5, 7-9, 23-25, and 32-42 are pending.

Claims 39-42 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on January 10, 2005.

The outstanding rejections under 35 USC 112 are withdrawn in view of the amendments filed August 22, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,368

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 5, 7-9, 23-25, and 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,273,975 ('975) in view US Patent 5,565,466 ('466).

'975 teaches the herein claimed compounds as useful in stimulating sexual activity and treating sexual dysfunction (See col. 2, lines 19-23). '975 also teaches the effective dosage as 10mg orally in multiple doses (See col. 9, lines 58-61). Various routes of administration were taught in '975 including oral, parenteral, rectal (See col. 9, line 66 – col. 10, line 5).

'975 does not expressly tech the herein claimed pharmaceutical dosage forms. '975 does not expressly teach the dosage as 0.1 to 5 mg.

'466 teaches a buccal composition comprising a vasodilator for modulating the sexual response in human. '466 the buccal dosage form can be formulated into sublingual tablets, lozenge, chewing gums, and oral strips and films (See col. 7, lines 29-49). '466 teaches useful vasodilators include nitroglycerin and nicotinyl alcohol(See claim 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to formulate the compounds of '975 into the herein claimed dosage forms. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to formulate the herein claimed dosage.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to formulate the compounds of '975 into the herein claimed dosage forms and the herein claimed dosage. Firstly, the skilled of artisan would possess all conventional administration method of the active compounds such as sublingual tablets, lozenge, chewing gums.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,368

Art Unit: 1617

oral strips and films. The selection of one or another well-known conventional dosage forms would be seen as a simple selection from among obvious alternatives.

Furthermore, the optimization of result effect parameters (e.g., dosage range) is obvious as being within the skill of the artisan since the compounds of '975 is effective in 10mg daily in multiple times. Therefore, adjusting the dosage to less than 10mg per dose, e.g., 2.5mg per tablet four times daily, would be obvious.

Claims 5, 7-9, 23-25, 32, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '975 in view of US Patent 5,501,861 ('861).

'975 teaches the compounds recited in claims 5, 8, and 23 as useful in treating anxiety (See the abstract). '975 also teaches the effective dosage as 10mg orally in multiple doses (See col. 9, lines 58-61).

'975 does not expressly teach the compounds as formulated into a fast-melt formulation. '975 does not expressly teach the dosage as 0.1 to 5 mg.

'861 teaches a fast-melt formulation for antianxiety and antidepressants compounds which also provide advantages for providing an easy dosage forms for elderly to ingest (See col. 3, lines 37-38).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to formulate the antianxiety and antidepressant compounds of '975, in the herein claimed dosage, into fast-melt formulation of '861.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to formulate the antianxiety and antidepressant compounds of '975, in the herein claimed dosage, into

Art Unit: 1617

fast-melt formulation of '861 since formulate antidepressant and antianxiety compounds of '975 would provide an advantage of fast-melt formulation that is easily ingested for elderly patients. Furthermore, the optimization of result effect parameters (dosage range, dosing regimens) is obvious as being within the skill of the artisan since the compounds of '975 is effective in 10mg daily in multiple times. Therefore, adjusting the dosage to less than 10mg per dose, e.g., 2.5mg per tablet four times daily, would be obvious.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 22, 2005 averring the cited prior arts' failure to teach the herein claimed dosage have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner notes that '975 teaches the effective amount of compounds of '975 as 10mg daily in multiple times. Therefore, adjusting the dosage to less than 10mg per dose, e.g., 2.5mg per tablet four times daily, would be obvious.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/072,368 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (571) 272-0626. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1617